

On minimax theorems for lower semicontinuous functions in Hilbert spaces

Ewa M. Bednarczuk ^{*†}, Monika Syga [‡]

Abstract

We prove minimax theorems for lower semicontinuous functions defined on a Hilbert space. The main tool is the theory of Φ -convex functions and sufficient and necessary conditions for the minimax equality for Φ -convex functions. These conditions are expressed in terms of abstract Φ -subgradients.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 32F17, 49J52, 49K27, 49K35, 52A01

Keywords: abstract convexity, minimax theorems, intersection property, abstract Φ -subdifferential, abstract Φ -subgradient

1 Introduction

Let X and Y be nonempty sets and let $a : X \times Y \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{R}} := \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$, be an extended real-valued function defined on $X \times Y$. Minimax theorems provide conditions for the minimax equality

$$\sup_{y \in Y} \inf_{x \in X} a(x, y) = \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in Y} a(x, y).$$

to hold for a . An exhaustive survey of minimax theorems is given e.g. in [13].

In this paper we prove minimax theorems for lower semicontinuous functions defined on a Hilbert space and bounded from below by a quadratic function. The novelty of the results presented is that we do not exploit any compactness and/or connectedness assumptions. Our approach is based on minimax theorems for abstract convex functions obtained in [1, 15, 16, 17].

We start with definitions related to abstract convexity. Let X be a set. Let Φ be a set of real-valued functions $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

For any $f, g : X \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{R}}$

$$f \leqslant g \Leftrightarrow f(x) \leqslant g(x) \quad \forall x \in X.$$

^{*}System Research Institute, Polish Academy of Sciences, Newelska 6, 01-447, Warsaw, Poland, Ewa.Bednarczuk@ibspan.waw.pl

[†]Warsaw University of Technology, Faculty of Mathematics and Information Science, ul. Koszykowa 75, 00-662 Warsaw, Poland, E.Bednarczuk@mini.pw.edu.pl

[‡]Warsaw University of Technology, Faculty of Mathematics and Information Science, ul. Koszykowa 75, 00-662 Warsaw, Poland, M.Syga@mini.pw.edu.pl

Let $f : X \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{R}}$. The set

$$\text{supp}(f, \Phi) := \{\varphi \in \Phi : \varphi \leq f\}$$

is called the *support* of f with respect to Φ . We will use the notation $\text{supp}(f)$ if the class Φ is clear from the context.

Definition 1.1 ([4, 9, 11]) *A function $f : X \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{R}}$ is called Φ -convex if*

$$f(x) = \sup\{\varphi(x) : \varphi \in \text{supp}(f)\} \quad \forall x \in X.$$

By convention, $\text{supp}(f) = \emptyset$ if and only if $f \equiv -\infty$. In this paper we always assume that $\text{supp}(f) \neq \emptyset$, i.e. we limit our attention to functions $f : X \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}} := \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$.

We say that a function $f : X \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ is proper if the effective domain of f is nonempty, i.e.

$$\text{dom}(f) := \{x \in X : f(x) < +\infty\} \neq \emptyset.$$

If, for every $y \in Y$ the function $a(\cdot, y)$ is Φ -convex then, a sufficient and necessary condition for a to satisfy the minimax equality is the so called intersection property introduced in [1] and investigated in [15, 16, 17]. Let $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : X \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ be any functions from the set Φ_{lsc} defined below and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. We say that *the intersection property* holds for φ_1 and φ_2 on X at the level α if and only if

$$[\varphi_1 < \alpha] \cap [\varphi_2 < \alpha] = \emptyset,$$

where $[\varphi < \alpha] := \{x \in X : \varphi(x) < \alpha\}$ is the strict lower level set of function $\varphi : X \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$.

From now on we consider classes Φ which are closed under vertical shift, i.e. $\varphi + c \in \Phi$ for any $\varphi \in \Phi$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$. The following result is proved in [15, 17].

Theorem 1.2 (Theorem 1.2 of [17], see also Theorem 3.3.3 of [15]). *Let X be a nonempty set and Y be a real vector space and let $a : X \times Y \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$. Assume that for any $y \in Y$ the function $a(\cdot, y) : X \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ is proper Φ -convex on X and for any $x \in X$ the function $a(x, \cdot) : Y \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ is concave on Y . The following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) *for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha < \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in Y} a(x, y)$, there exist $y_1, y_2 \in Y$ and $\varphi_1 \in \text{supp } a(\cdot, y_1)$, $\varphi_2 \in \text{supp } a(\cdot, y_2)$ such that the intersection property holds for φ_1 and φ_2 on X at the level α ,*

(ii) $\sup_{y \in Y} \inf_{x \in X} a(x, y) = \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in Y} a(x, y)$.

Condition (i) of Theorem 1.2 requires the existence of two functions from support sets $\text{supp } a(\cdot, y_1)$ and $\text{supp } a(\cdot, y_2)$ for which the intersection property holds on X at the level $\alpha < \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in Y} a(x, y)$. A natural question is whether it is possible to choose these two functions as Φ -subgradients of $a(\cdot, y_1)$ and $a(\cdot, y_2)$ respectively, at some points.

In the present paper we investigate the possibility of expressing condition (i) of Theorem 1.2 with the help of Φ -subgradients. We consider Φ -convex functions $a(\cdot, y)$ for two classes Φ . The first class is defined as

$$\Phi_{conv} := \{\varphi : X \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}, \varphi(x) = \langle \ell, x \rangle + c, \quad x \in X, \ell \in X^*, c \in \mathbb{R}\},$$

where X is a vector topological space, X^* is a topological dual space to X . It is a well known fact (see for example Proposition 3.1 of [5]) that a proper convex lower semicontinuous function $f : X \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ is Φ_{conv} -convex.

The second class which is considered in this paper is defined in the following way

$$\Phi_{lsc} := \{\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \varphi(x) = -a\|x\|^2 + \langle \ell, x \rangle + c, \ x \in X, \ell \in X^*, \ a \geq 0, \ c \in \mathbb{R}\},$$

where X is a normed space.

In the following theorem we provide a further characterization of Φ_{lsc} -convex functions.

Theorem 1.3 ([11], Example 6.2) *Let X be a Hilbert space. Let $f : X \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ be lower semicontinuous on X . If there exists $\bar{\varphi} \in \Phi_{lsc}$ such that $\bar{\varphi} < f$, then f is Φ_{lsc} -convex.*

Let us note that when $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ the class of Φ_{lsc} -convex functions contains the class of prox-bounded functions f (Definition 1.23 in [10]), which possess proximal subgradients (Definition 8.45 of [10]) at each $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ (if $\text{dom} f = \mathbb{R}^n$) (Proposition 8.46f [10]). See also [2].

The organisation of the paper is as follows. In section 2 we collect basic facts on Φ -subdifferentials of Φ -convex functions. In section 3 we provide characterizations of the intersection property in terms of Φ - ε -subdifferentials. In section 4 we discuss our main tool which is the Brønsted-Rockafellar-type theorem for Φ_{lsc} -convex functions. In section 5 we discuss the intersection property in the class Φ_{conv} . Section 6 contains our main results.

2 Φ -subdifferentials

Let X be a set. The Φ -subdifferential of a function $f : X \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ at a point $\bar{x} \in \text{dom}(f)$ is defined as follows

$$\partial_{\Phi} f(\bar{x}) := \{\varphi \in \Phi : \varphi(x) - \varphi(\bar{x}) \leq f(x) - f(\bar{x}), \ \forall x \in X\}.$$

The elements φ of $\partial_{\Phi} f(\bar{x})$ are called Φ -subgradients of f at $\bar{x}$. This definition and some results related to Φ -subdifferentials can be found e.g. in [9] and [14].

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. The Φ - ε -subdifferential of f at the point $\bar{x} \in \text{dom}(f)$ is defined as follows

$$\partial_{\Phi}^{\varepsilon} f(\bar{x}) := \{\varphi \in \Phi : \varphi(x) - \varphi(\bar{x}) - \varepsilon \leq f(x) - f(\bar{x}), \ \forall x \in X\}.$$

Whenever the class Φ is clear from the context we use the notation ε -subdifferential. The Φ - ε -subdifferential was defined in [7], which is a direct adaptation of the definition from [3]. The elements φ of $\partial_{\Phi}^{\varepsilon} f(\bar{x})$ are called Φ - ε -subgradients (ε -subgradients) of f at $\bar{x}$.

The following proposition states a necessary and sufficient condition for the nonemptiness of Φ -subdifferential and Φ - ε -subdifferential of f at a given point $\bar{x}$. Similar results can be found in [11] (Proposition 1.2, Corollary 1.2) and [11] (Proposition 5.1).

Proposition 2.1 *Let $f : X \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ be a proper Φ -convex functions, $\varepsilon \geq 0$ and $\bar{x} \in \text{dom}(f)$. Then*

$$\partial_{\Phi}^{\varepsilon} f(\bar{x}) = \{\varphi \in \text{supp}(f) : f(\bar{x}) = \varphi(\bar{x}) + \varepsilon\} \quad (2.1)$$

Proof. Let $\varphi \in \partial_{\Phi}^{\varepsilon} f(\bar{x})$. By the definition,

$$f(x) \geq \varphi(x) - \varphi(\bar{x}) + f(\bar{x}) - \varepsilon.$$

Let $\bar{\varphi} := \varphi - \varphi(\bar{x}) + f(\bar{x}) - \varepsilon$, then $\bar{\varphi} \in \text{supp}(f)$ and $\bar{\varphi}(\bar{x}) + \varepsilon = f(\bar{x})$.

Let $\bar{\varphi} \in \text{supp}(f)$ be such that $f(\bar{x}) = \bar{\varphi}(\bar{x}) + \varepsilon$. By the fact that $\bar{\varphi} \in \text{supp}(f)$ we have $f(x) \geq \bar{\varphi}(x)$, for all $x \in X$. Hence the following inequality holds

$$\bar{\varphi}(x) - \bar{\varphi}(\bar{x}) - \varepsilon \leq f(x) - f(\bar{x}), \quad \forall x \in X,$$

which means $\bar{\varphi} \in \partial_{\Phi}^{\varepsilon} f(\bar{x})$. ■

3 The intersection property via Φ - ε -subgradients

Since $[\bar{\varphi} < \alpha] \subset [\varphi < \alpha]$ whenever $\varphi \leq \bar{\varphi}$, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1 ([15], Proposition 2.1.3) *Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \bar{\varphi}_1, \bar{\varphi}_2 : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be such that*

$$\bar{\varphi}_1 \geq \varphi_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\varphi}_2 \geq \varphi_2.$$

If φ_1 and φ_2 have the intersection property on X at the level α , then $\bar{\varphi}_1$ and $\bar{\varphi}_2$ have the intersection property on X at the level α .

For ε -subdifferentials the following theorem holds.

Theorem 3.2 *Let X be a set. Let Φ be a class of functions $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Let $f, g : X \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ be proper Φ -convex functions and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.*

The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) *there exist $\varphi_1 \in \text{supp}(f)$ and $\varphi_2 \in \text{supp}(g)$ such that φ_1, φ_2 have the intersection property on X at the level α ,*
- (ii) *for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $x_1 \in \text{dom}(f)$, $x_2 \in \text{dom}(g)$ and $\bar{\varphi}_1 \in \partial_{\Phi}^{\varepsilon} f(x_1)$ and $\bar{\varphi}_2 \in \partial_{\Phi}^{\varepsilon} g(x_2)$ such that $\bar{\varphi}_1, \bar{\varphi}_2$ have the intersection property on X at the level α .*

Proof. (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Follows immediately from Proposition 2.1.

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Since $\varphi_1 \in \text{supp}(f)$ and $\varphi_2 \in \text{supp}(g)$, we have

$$\inf_{x \in X} \{f(x) - \varphi_1(x)\} =: c_1 \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \inf_{x \in X} \{g(x) - \varphi_2(x)\} =: c_2 \geq 0.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. There exist $x_1 \in \text{dom}(f)$ and $x_2 \in \text{dom}(g)$ such that

$$f(x_1) - \varphi_1(x_1) < c_1 + \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad g(x_2) - \varphi_2(x_2) < c_2 + \varepsilon.$$

Define

$$\bar{\varphi}_1(x) := \varphi_1(x) + c_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\varphi}_2(x) := \varphi_2(x) + c_2.$$

Functions $\bar{\varphi}_1, \bar{\varphi}_2$ belong to Φ and

$$-f(x_1) > -\bar{\varphi}_1(x_1) - \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad -g(x_2) > -\bar{\varphi}_2(x_2) - \varepsilon.$$

Notice that

$$\bar{\varphi}_1(x) \leq f(x) \text{ and } \bar{\varphi}_2(x) \leq g(x) \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

So, $\bar{\varphi}_1 \in \partial_{\Phi}^{\varepsilon} f(x_1)$ and $\bar{\varphi}_2 \in \partial_{\Phi}^{\varepsilon} g(x_2)$. It is obvious that $\bar{\varphi}_1 \geq \varphi_1$ and $\bar{\varphi}_2 \geq \varphi_2$ and by Proposition 3.1, $\bar{\varphi}_1$ and $\bar{\varphi}_2$ have the intersection property on X at the level α . ■

A characterization of the intersection property in terms of Φ -subgradients requires more advanced tools as well as additional knowledge about properties of functions φ from a given class Φ . We provide characterizations of the intersection property in terms of Φ -subgradients for the class Φ_{lsc} (section 4) and for the class Φ_{conv} (section 5).

4 The intersection property for Φ_{lsc} -subgradients

In this section we express the intersection property for Φ_{lsc} -convex functions in terms of Φ_{lsc} -subgradients. The main result of the section is Theorem 4.5.

To this aim we use the Borwein-Preiss variational principle in the following form.

Theorem 4.1 ([12], Theorem 8.3.3) *Assume that X is a Hilbert space and the function $f : X \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ is proper lower semicontinuous on X . Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and let $y \in X$ be such that $f(y) \leq \inf_{x \in X} f(x) + \varepsilon$. Then for any $\lambda > 0$ there exist $z \in X$, such that*

$$\|z - x\| \leq \lambda, \quad f(z) \leq f(x) + \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda^2} \|x - z\|^2 \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

With the help of this variational principle we prove the following variant of the Brønsted-Rockafellar theorem for Φ_{lsc} -convex functions. The proof we present below is an adaptation of the proof of Proposition 5.3 of [7] to our definition of subgradients.

Theorem 4.2 *Let $\varepsilon > 0$, $f : X \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ be a proper Φ_{lsc} -convex function, $y \in \text{dom}(f)$ and $\varphi(\cdot) = -a\|\cdot\|^2 + \langle \ell, \cdot \rangle + c \in \partial_{\Phi_{lsc}}^{\varepsilon} f(y)$. For every $\lambda > 0$ there exist $\bar{y} \in \text{dom}(f)$ and $\bar{\varphi}(\cdot) = -\bar{a}\|\cdot\|^2 + \langle \bar{\ell}, \cdot \rangle + \bar{c} \in \partial_{\Phi_{lsc}} f(\bar{y})$ such that*

$$\|y - \bar{y}\| \leq \lambda, \quad \|\ell - \bar{\ell}\| \leq \frac{2\varepsilon}{\lambda^2}(\lambda + \|y\|), \quad \bar{a} - a = \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda^2} \quad \text{and} \quad c - \bar{c} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda^2} \|\bar{y}\|^2.$$

Proof. Since $\varphi \in \partial_{\Phi_{lsc}}^{\varepsilon} f(y)$ we have, by Proposition 2.1

$$f(x) - f(y) \geq -a\|x\|^2 + \langle \ell, x \rangle + a\|y\|^2 - \langle \ell, y \rangle - \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

which can be rewritten as

$$W(y) \leq \inf_{x \in X} W(x) + \varepsilon,$$

where $W(x) := f(x) + a\|x\|^2 - \langle \ell, x \rangle$. By Theorem 4.1, for every $\lambda > 0$ there exists $\bar{y} \in X$ such that $\|y - \bar{y}\| \leq \lambda$ and

$$W(\bar{y}) \leq W(x) + \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda^2} \|x - \bar{y}\|^2 \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

Let $\bar{\varphi}(x) = -(a + \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda^2})\|x\|^2 + \langle \ell + \frac{2\varepsilon}{\lambda^2}\bar{y}, x \rangle + c - \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda^2}\|\bar{y}\|^2 - \varphi(\bar{y}) + f(\bar{y})$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) - f(\bar{y}) &\geq -a\|x\|^2 + \langle \ell, x \rangle + a\|\bar{y}\|^2 - \langle \ell, \bar{y} \rangle - \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda^2}\|x - \bar{y}\|^2 \\ &\geq -a\|x\|^2 + \langle \ell, x \rangle + a\|\bar{y}\|^2 - \langle \ell, \bar{y} \rangle - \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda^2}\|x\|^2 + \frac{2\varepsilon}{\lambda^2}\langle x, \bar{y} \rangle - \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda^2}\|\bar{y}\|^2 \\ &\geq \langle \ell + \frac{2\varepsilon}{\lambda^2}\bar{y}, x \rangle - (a + \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda^2})\|x\|^2 - \langle \ell + \frac{2\varepsilon}{\lambda^2}\bar{y}, \bar{y} \rangle + (a + \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda^2})\|\bar{y}\|^2 \\ &= \bar{\varphi}(x) - \bar{\varphi}(\bar{y}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\bar{\varphi} \in \partial_{\Phi_{lsc}} f(\bar{y})$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\ell - \bar{\ell}\| &= \left\| -\frac{2\varepsilon}{\lambda^2}\bar{y} \right\| = \frac{2\varepsilon}{\lambda^2}\|\bar{y}\| \leq \frac{2\varepsilon}{\lambda^2}(\lambda + \|y\|), \\ c - \bar{c} &= c - c + \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda^2}\|\bar{y}\|^2 + \varphi(\bar{y}) - f(\bar{y}) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda^2}\|\bar{y}\| \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{a} - a = \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda^2}. \end{aligned}$$

■

The domain of Φ_{lsc} -subdifferential is defined as follows

$$\text{dom}(\partial_{\Phi_{lsc}} f) := \{x \in X : \partial_{\Phi_{lsc}} f(x) \neq \emptyset\}.$$

The following theorem is an immediate corollary from Theorem 4.2 and Proposition 2.1.

Theorem 4.3 *Let X be a Hilbert space and $f : X \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ be a proper Φ_{lsc} -convex function. Then the set $\text{dom}(\partial_{\Phi_{lsc}} f)$ is dense in $\text{dom}(f)$.*

The following technical fact is used below.

Proposition 4.4 *Let X be a Hilbert space, Z be a subset of X , $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. If φ_1, φ_2 have the intersection property on X at the level α , then φ_1, φ_2 have the intersection property on Z at the level α .*

Theorem 4.2 together with Theorem 3.2 allow to prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 4.5 *Let X be a Hilbert space and let Z be a bounded subset of X . Let $f, g : X \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ be proper Φ_{lsc} -convex functions and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Assume that there exist $\varphi_1 \in \text{supp}(f)$ and $\varphi_2 \in \text{supp}(g)$ such that φ_1, φ_2 have the intersection property on X at the level α .

Then for any $\eta > 0$ there exist $x_1 \in \text{dom}(f)$, $x_2 \in \text{dom}(g)$ and $\bar{\varphi}_1 \in \partial_{\Phi_{lsc}} f(x_1)$ and $\bar{\varphi}_2 \in \partial_{\Phi_{lsc}} g(x_2)$ such that $\bar{\varphi}_1, \bar{\varphi}_2$ have the intersection property on Z at the level $\alpha - \eta$.

Proof. By the boundedness of Z , there exists $\gamma > 0$ such that $Z \subset \gamma B(0, 1)$, where $B(0, 1)$ is the closed unit ball. Let φ_1, φ_2 satisfy the assumptions of the theorem. Let $\eta > 0$ and $\varepsilon = \frac{\eta}{\gamma}$.

By Theorem 3.2, there exist $x_1 \in \text{dom}(f)$, $x_2 \in \text{dom}(g)$ and $\tilde{\varphi}_1(x) = -\tilde{a}_1\|x\|^2 + \langle \tilde{\ell}_1, x \rangle + \tilde{c}_1 \in \partial_{\Phi_{lsc}}^\varepsilon f(x_1)$ and $\tilde{\varphi}_2(x) = -\tilde{a}_2\|x\|^2 + \langle \tilde{\ell}_2, x \rangle + \tilde{c}_2 \in \partial_{\Phi_{lsc}}^\varepsilon g(x_2)$ such that $\tilde{\varphi}_1, \tilde{\varphi}_2$ have the intersection property on X at the level α .

Let $\lambda_1 = 1 + \sqrt{1 + 2\|x_1\| + \gamma + \frac{1}{\gamma}\|x_1\|^2}$ and $\lambda_2 = 1 + \sqrt{1 + 2\|x_2\| + \gamma + \frac{1}{\gamma}\|x_2\|^2}$. By Theorem 4.2, there exist $\bar{x}_1 \in \text{dom}(f)$, $\bar{x}_2 \in \text{dom}(g)$ and $\bar{\varphi}_1(x) = -\bar{a}_1\|x\|^2 + \langle \bar{\ell}_1, x \rangle + \bar{c}_1 \in \partial_{\Phi_{lsc}} f(\bar{x}_1)$ and $\bar{\varphi}_2(x) = -\bar{a}_2\|x\|^2 + \langle \bar{\ell}_2, x \rangle + \bar{c}_2 \in \partial_{\Phi_{lsc}} g(\bar{x}_2)$ such that

$$\|\tilde{\ell}_1 - \bar{\ell}_1\| \leq \frac{2\varepsilon}{\lambda_1^2}(\lambda_1 + \|x_1\|), \quad \bar{a}_1 - \tilde{a}_1 = \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_1^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{c}_1 - \bar{c}_1 \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_1^2}\|x_1\|^2 \quad (4.1)$$

$$\|\tilde{\ell}_2 - \bar{\ell}_2\| \leq \frac{2\varepsilon}{\lambda_2^2}(\lambda_2 + \|x_2\|), \quad \bar{a}_2 - \tilde{a}_2 = \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_2^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{c}_2 - \bar{c}_2 \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_2^2}\|x_2\|^2. \quad (4.2)$$

By (4.1) and (4.2) and by the boundedness of Z , for every $x \in Z$ we get

$$\tilde{\ell}_1(x) \leq \bar{\ell}_1(x) + \frac{2\gamma\varepsilon}{\lambda_1^2}(\lambda_1 + \|x_1\|), \quad -\tilde{a}_1\|x\|^2 = -\bar{a}_1\|x\|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_1^2}\|x\|^2 \leq -\bar{a}_1\|x\|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_1^2}\gamma^2, \quad (4.3)$$

$$\tilde{\ell}_2(x) \leq \bar{\ell}_2(x) + \frac{2\gamma\varepsilon}{\lambda_2^2}(\lambda_2 + \|x_2\|), \quad -\tilde{a}_2\|x\|^2 = -\bar{a}_2\|x\|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_2^2}\|x\|^2 \leq -\bar{a}_2\|x\|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_1^2}\gamma^2. \quad (4.4)$$

By (4.1), (4.2), (4.3), (4.4) and the definitions of ε , λ_1 and λ_2 we get

$$\tilde{\varphi}_1(x) \leq \bar{\varphi}_1(x) + \eta, \quad \tilde{\varphi}_2(x) \leq \bar{\varphi}_2(x) + \eta \quad \text{for every } x \in Z. \quad (4.5)$$

Since the intersection property holds for $\tilde{\varphi}_1, \tilde{\varphi}_2$ on X at the level α , then by Proposition 4.4, the intersection property holds for $\tilde{\varphi}_1, \tilde{\varphi}_2$ on Z at the level α . By Proposition 3.1, the intersection property holds for $\bar{\varphi}_1 + \eta$ and $\bar{\varphi}_2 + \eta$ on Z at the level α , i.e. the intersection property holds for $\bar{\varphi}_1$ and $\bar{\varphi}_2$ on Z at the level $\alpha - \eta$. ■

The example below shows that, in general, we cannot expect, the intersection property for Φ_{lsc} -subgradients on the whole space X .

Example 4.6 Let $X = \mathbb{R}$, $f(x) := 2^x$, $g(x) := -|x| + 2$ and $\alpha = 0$. The functions f and g are Φ_{lsc} -convex. Let $\varphi_1(x) \equiv 0$, then $\varphi_1 \in \Phi_{lsc}$ and $\varphi_1 \in \text{supp}(f)$. The set $[\varphi_1 < 0]$ is empty, then for every $\varphi_2 \in \text{supp}(g)$ functions φ_1 and φ_2 have the intersection property on X at the level 0.

On the other hand, for every $\bar{x} \in X$ and $\bar{\varphi} \in \partial_{\Phi_{lsc}}g(\bar{x})$ it must be

$$\bar{\varphi}(x) = -ax^2 + bx + c,$$

where $a > 0$, $b, c \in \mathbb{R}$. Since all Φ_{lsc} -subgradients of f are affine functions, there exist no Φ_{lsc} -subgradient φ_f of f and a Φ_{lsc} -subgradient φ_g of g such that φ_f and φ_g have the intersection property on X at the level 0.

In view of Theorem 4.5, for every bounded subset $Z \subset X$ there exist subgradients of f and subgradients of g which have the intersection property on Z at the level 0.

5 The intersection property for Φ_{conv} -subgradients

Let X be a topological vector space. The subdifferential ∂f of function $f : X \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ at the point $\bar{x} \in \text{dom}(f)$ is defined as follows

$$\partial f(\bar{x}) := \{\ell \in X^* : f(x) - f(\bar{x}) \geq \langle \ell, x - \bar{x} \rangle, \forall x \in X\}.$$

There is an one-to-one correspondence between the Φ_{conv} -subdifferential and the subdifferential of a convex lower semicontinuous function f , i.e. $\varphi = \ell + c \in \partial_{\Phi_{conv}}f(\bar{x})$ if and only if $\ell \in \partial f(\bar{x})$.

We recall that the normal cone to a convex set $C \subset X$ at the point $\bar{x} \in C$ is defined as

$$N_C(\bar{x}) := \{\ell \in X^* : \langle \ell, \bar{x} - x \rangle \geq 0, \forall x \in C\},$$

These definitions can be found e.g. in [5].

Proposition 5.1 ([8], p. 200, Proposition 2) Let X be a topological vector space. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be proper convex and continuous and $[f < \alpha] \neq \emptyset$. Then

$$N_{[f \leq \alpha]}(\bar{x}) = \mathbb{R}_+ \partial f(\bar{x}), \text{ where } f(\bar{x}) = \alpha.$$

Now we are ready to prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 5.2 Let X be a topological vector space. Let $f, g : X \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ be proper continuous and convex functions and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Assume that f and g have the intersection property on X at the level α and

$$[f \leq \alpha] \cap [g \leq \alpha] \neq \emptyset.$$

Then there exist $x_1 \in \text{dom}(f)$, $x_2 \in \text{dom}(g)$ and $\varphi_1 \in \partial_{\Phi_{\text{conv}}} f(x_1)$, $\varphi_2 \in \partial_{\Phi_{\text{conv}}} g(x_2)$ such that φ_1, φ_2 have the intersection property on X at the level α .

Proof. Assume, first that $[f < \alpha] = \emptyset$, i.e.

$$f(x) \geq \alpha \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

By the assumption that $[f \leq \alpha] \cap [g \leq \alpha] \neq \emptyset$, there exists $x_1 \in \text{dom}(f)$ such that $f(x_1) = \alpha$, and thus $\bar{\varphi} \equiv \alpha$ belongs to $\partial_{\Phi_{\text{conv}}} f(x_1)$. Let $x_2 \in \text{dom}(\partial_{\Phi_{\text{conv}}} g)$. Then

$$[\bar{\varphi} < \alpha] \cap [\varphi < \alpha] = \emptyset \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in \partial_{\Phi_{\text{conv}}} g(x_2),$$

which gives the assertion of the theorem. Analogously, by assuming that $[g < \alpha] = \emptyset$ we get the assertion of the theorem.

Assume now that $[f < \alpha] \neq \emptyset$ and $[g < \alpha] \neq \emptyset$. By the intersection property of f and g on X at the level α , we have $[f < \alpha] \cap [g < \alpha] = \emptyset$.

We show that there exists $\ell \in X^* \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$\sup_{y \in [f \leq \alpha]} \langle \ell, y \rangle = \langle \ell, \bar{x} \rangle = \inf_{z \in [g \leq \alpha]} \langle \ell, z \rangle, \quad (5.1)$$

where $\bar{x} \in [f \leq \alpha] \cap [g \leq \alpha]$.

By the convexity and continuity of functions f, g , and by the fact that $[f < \alpha] \neq \emptyset$, $[g < \alpha] \neq \emptyset$ we get $[f < \alpha] \cap [g \leq \alpha] = \emptyset$, $\text{int}[f \leq \alpha] = [f < \alpha]$ and $f(\bar{x}) = g(\bar{x}) = \alpha$. By the separation theorem ([12], Theorem 1.5.3), there exist $\ell \in X^* \setminus \{0\}$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\langle \ell, y \rangle \leq r \leq \langle \ell, z \rangle \quad \text{for all } y \in [f \leq \alpha], z \in [g \leq \alpha].$$

Let $\bar{x} \in [f \leq \alpha] \cap [g \leq \alpha]$. On the one hand

$$\langle \ell, y \rangle \leq r \leq \langle \ell, \bar{x} \rangle \quad \forall y \in [f \leq \alpha],$$

hence $\sup_{y \in [f \leq \alpha]} \langle \ell, y \rangle \leq r \leq \langle \ell, \bar{x} \rangle$. On the other hand

$$\langle \ell, \bar{x} \rangle \leq r \leq \langle \ell, z \rangle \quad \forall z \in [g \leq \alpha],$$

thus $\langle \ell, \bar{x} \rangle \leq r \leq \inf_{z \in [g \leq \alpha]} \langle \ell, z \rangle$. Then

$$\langle \ell, \bar{x} \rangle \leq \sup_{y \in [f \leq \alpha]} \langle \ell, y \rangle \leq r \leq \langle \ell, \bar{x} \rangle \leq r \leq \inf_{z \in [g \leq \alpha]} \langle \ell, z \rangle \leq \langle \ell, \bar{x} \rangle,$$

which proves (5.1), and consequently

$$\sup_{y \in [f \leq \alpha]} \langle \ell, y \rangle = \langle \ell, \bar{x} \rangle.$$

Hence

$$\langle \ell, \bar{x} \rangle \geq \langle \ell, y \rangle \quad \text{for all } y \in [f \leq \alpha],$$

or equivalently

$$\langle \ell, \bar{x} - y \rangle \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } y \in [f \leq \alpha].$$

By Proposition 5.1

$$\ell \in N_{[f \leq \alpha]}(\bar{x}) = \mathbb{R}_+ \partial f(\bar{x}).$$

Hence, there exists $k > 0$ such that $\ell \in \partial k f(\bar{x})$, i.e.

$$f(x) \geq \frac{1}{k} \langle \ell, x - \bar{x} \rangle + f(\bar{x}), \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

Let $\varphi_1(x) := \frac{1}{k} \langle \ell, x - \bar{x} \rangle + f(\bar{x})$. Then $\varphi_1 \in \partial_{\Phi_{conv}} f(\bar{x})$ and $\varphi_1 \in \text{supp}(f)$ and

$$[\varphi_1 < \alpha] = \{x \in X : \langle \ell, x - \bar{x} \rangle < 0\}.$$

By (5.1),

$$\langle \ell, \bar{x} \rangle = \inf_{z \in [g \leq \alpha]} \langle \ell, z \rangle,$$

which is equivalent to

$$-\langle \ell, \bar{x} \rangle = \sup_{z \in [g \leq \alpha]} -\langle \ell, z \rangle,$$

i.e.

$$-\langle \ell, \bar{x} \rangle \geq -\langle \ell, z \rangle \quad \text{for all } z \in [g \leq \alpha],$$

or

$$-\langle \ell, \bar{x} - z \rangle \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } z \in [g \leq \alpha].$$

Again, by Proposition 5.1

$$-\ell \in N_{[g \leq \alpha]}(\bar{x}) = \mathbb{R}_+ \partial g(\bar{x}).$$

There exists $\lambda > 0$ such that $-\ell \in \partial \lambda g(\bar{x})$. We have

$$g(x) \geq -\frac{1}{\lambda} \langle \ell, x - \bar{x} \rangle + g(\bar{x}), \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

Let $\varphi_2(x) := -\frac{1}{\lambda} \langle \ell, x - \bar{x} \rangle + g(\bar{x})$. Then $\varphi_2 \in \partial_{\Phi_{conv}} g(\bar{x})$ and $\varphi_2 \in \text{supp}(g)$ and

$$[\varphi_2 < \alpha] = \{x \in X : \langle \ell, x - \bar{x} \rangle > 0\}.$$

Thus

$$[\varphi_1 < \alpha] \cap [\varphi_2 < \alpha] = \emptyset.$$

■

The following simple fact was proved in [16].

Proposition 5.3 ([16], Proposition 2.1) *If φ_1, φ_2 have the intersection property on X at the level $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, then φ_1, φ_2 have the intersection property on X at any level $\beta \leq \alpha$, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Taking into account that above proposition we can formulate the following corollary of Theorem 5.2.

Corollary 5.4 *Let $f, g : X \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ be proper continuous and convex and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. If f and g have the intersection property on X at the level α and*

$$[f \leq \alpha] \cap [g \leq \alpha] \neq \emptyset$$

then there exists $x_1 \in \text{dom}(f)$, $x_2 \in \text{dom}(g)$ and $\varphi_1 \in \partial_{\Phi_{\text{conv}}} f(x_1)$, $\varphi_2 \in \partial_{\Phi_{\text{conv}}} g(x_2)$ such that φ_1, φ_2 have the intersection property on X at every level $\beta \leq \alpha$.

6 Main results

In this section we prove minimax theorems for functions $a : X \times Y \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ which are Φ_{lsc} - (Φ_{conv}) -convex with respect to variable x for every $y \in Y$. In these theorems we do not use any compactness and/or connectedness assumptions.

For Φ_{lsc} -functions we prove the following sufficient conditions for the minimax equality to hold.

Theorem 6.1 *Let X be a Hilbert space and Y be a real vector space. Let $a : X \times Y \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$. Assume that for any $y \in Y$ the function $a(\cdot, y) : X \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ is proper Φ_{lsc} -convex on X and for any $x \in X$ the function $a(x, \cdot) : Y \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ is concave on Y .*

If for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha < \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in Y} a(x, y)$ there exist $y_1, y_2 \in Y$, $x_1 \in \text{dom}(a(\cdot, y_1))$, $x_2 \in \text{dom}(a(\cdot, y_2))$ and $\varphi_1 \in \partial_{\Phi_{\text{lsc}}} a(\cdot, y_1)(x_1)$, $\varphi_2 \in \partial_{\Phi_{\text{lsc}}} a(\cdot, y_2)(x_2)$ such that the intersection property holds for φ_1 and φ_2 on X at the level α , then

$$\sup_{y \in Y} \inf_{x \in X} a(x, y) = \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in Y} a(x, y).$$

Proof. Follows immediately from Proposition 2.1 and Theorem 1.2. ■

Remark 6.2 *Let us observe that if for some $y_1, y_2 \in Y$ and $x_1 \in \text{dom}(a(\cdot, y_1))$, $x_2 \in \text{dom}(a(\cdot, y_2))$ and $\varphi_1(\cdot) = -a_1 \|\cdot\|^2 + \langle \ell_1, \cdot \rangle + c_1 \in \partial_{\Phi_{\text{lsc}}} a(\cdot, y_1)(x_1)$, $\varphi_2(\cdot) = -a_2 \|\cdot\|^2 + \langle \ell_2, \cdot \rangle + c_2 \in \partial_{\Phi_{\text{lsc}}} a(\cdot, y_2)(x_2)$ the intersection property holds for φ_1 and φ_2 on X at the level α , then exactly one of the conditions hold*

(i) $\varphi_1 := \text{const} \geq \alpha$ or $\varphi_2 := \text{const} \geq \alpha$,

(ii) $\varphi_1 := \langle \ell_1, \cdot \rangle + c_1$ and $\varphi_2 := \langle \ell_2, \cdot \rangle + c_2$, i.e. $a_1 = a_2 = 0$.

To show the necessity of the intersection property of Theorem 6.1 we restrict our attention to bounded subsets of the Hilbert space X .

Theorem 6.3 *Let X be a Hilbert space, Y be a real vector space and let $a : X \times Y \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$. Assume that for any $y \in Y$ the function $a(\cdot, y) : X \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ is proper Φ_{lsc} -convex on X .*

If $\sup_{y \in Y} \inf_{x \in X} a(x, y) = \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in Y} a(x, y)$, then for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha < \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in Y} a(x, y)$, and every bounded set $Z \subset X$ there exist $y_1, y_2 \in Y$, $x_1 \in \text{dom}(a(\cdot, y_1))$, $x_2 \in \text{dom}(a(\cdot, y_2))$ and $\varphi_1 \in \partial_{\Phi_{lsc}} a(\cdot, y_1)(x_1)$, $\varphi_2 \in \partial_{\Phi_{lsc}} a(\cdot, y_2)(x_2)$ such that the intersection property holds for φ_1 and φ_2 on Z at the level α ,

Proof. Take any $\alpha < \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in Y} a(x, y)$. By the minimax equality, $\sup_{y \in Y} \inf_{x \in X} a(x, y) > \alpha$, i.e. there exists $\bar{y} \in Y$ such that for every $x \in X$ we have

$$a(x, \bar{y}) > \alpha.$$

The function $\bar{\varphi} := \alpha$ belongs to $\text{supp } a(\cdot, \bar{y})$. We have $[\bar{\varphi} < \alpha] = \emptyset$, thus

$$[\varphi < \alpha] \cap [\bar{\varphi} < \alpha] = \emptyset \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in \Phi_{lsc},$$

i.e. for every $\varphi \in \Phi_{lsc}$ the functions $\bar{\varphi}$ and φ have the intersection property on X at the level α .

Take any $\inf_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in Y} a(x, y) > \beta > \alpha$ and set $\eta := \beta - \alpha > 0$. By the same arguments as above there exist $y_1, y_2 \in Y$, $\varphi_1 \in \text{supp } a(\cdot, y_1)$ and $\varphi_2 \in \text{supp } a(\cdot, y_2)$ such that the intersection property holds for functions φ_1 and φ_2 on X at the level β . Let Z be a bounded subset of X . By Theorem 4.5, there exist $x_1 \in \text{dom}(a(\cdot, y_1))$, $x_2 \in \text{dom}(a(\cdot, y_2))$ and $\bar{\varphi}_1 \in \partial_{\Phi_{lsc}} a(\cdot, y_1)(x_1)$, $\bar{\varphi}_2 \in \partial_{\Phi_{lsc}} a(\cdot, y_2)(x_2)$ such that the intersection property holds for $\bar{\varphi}_1$ and $\bar{\varphi}_2$ on Z at the level $\beta - \eta$, then the intersection property holds for $\bar{\varphi}_1$ and $\bar{\varphi}_2$ on Z at the level $\beta - (\beta - \alpha) = \alpha$. ■

In the class of Φ_{conv} -convex functions we get the following sufficient and necessary conditions for the minimax equality.

Theorem 6.4 *Let X be a reflexive Banach space and Y be a real vector space. Let $a : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function such that for any $y \in Y$ the function $a(\cdot, y) : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is proper continuous and convex on X and for any $x \in X$ the function $a(x, \cdot) : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is concave on Y . Assume that there exists a point $\bar{y} \in Y$ such that $\sup_{y \in Y} \inf_{x \in X} a(x, y) = \inf_{x \in X} a(x, \bar{y})$ and there exists $\tilde{y} \in Y$ and $\gamma > \sup_{y \in Y} \inf_{x \in X} a(x, y)$ such that the set $[a(\cdot, \tilde{y}) \leq \gamma]$ is bounded in X .*

The following conditions are equivalent:

(i) *for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha < \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in Y} a(x, y)$ there exist $y_1, y_2 \in Y$, $x_1 \in \text{dom}(a(\cdot, y_1))$, $x_2 \in \text{dom}(a(\cdot, y_2))$ and $\varphi_1 \in \partial_{\Phi_{conv}} a(\cdot, y_1)(x_1)$, $\varphi_2 \in \partial_{\Phi_{conv}} a(\cdot, y_2)(x_2)$ such that the intersection property holds for φ_1 and φ_2 on X at the level α ,*

(ii) $\sup_{y \in Y} \inf_{x \in X} a(x, y) = \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in Y} a(x, y)$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) follows immediately from Proposition 2.1 and Theorem 1.2.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Let $\beta := \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in Y} a(x, y) = \sup_{y \in Y} \inf_{x \in X} a(x, y)$.

By assumption,

$$a(x, \bar{y}) \geq \beta \quad \text{for every } x \in X.$$

Hence, for every $\hat{y} \in Y$ the functions $a(\cdot, \bar{y}), a(\cdot, \hat{y}) : X \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ have the intersection property on X at the level β . Moreover, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $x_\varepsilon \in X$ such that

$$a(x_\varepsilon, y) < \beta + \varepsilon \quad \text{for every } y \in Y. \quad (6.1)$$

Let $\tilde{y} \in Y$ and $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ be such that the set $[a(\cdot, \tilde{y}) \leq \beta + \tilde{\varepsilon}]$ is bounded. Consider the sets

$$A_n := [a(\cdot, \tilde{y}) \leq \beta + \frac{1}{n}] \cap [a(\cdot, \bar{y}) \leq \beta + \frac{1}{n}], \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The sets A_n are nonempty (by (6.1)), $A_{n+1} \subset A_n$, convex, closed and bounded for all $n \geq n_0$. By the Cantor's intersection theorem, there exists $\bar{x} \in X$ such that

$$\bar{x} \in \bigcap_{n \geq n_0}^\infty A_n,$$

thus, $\bar{x} \in [a(\cdot, \tilde{y}) \leq \beta] \cap [a(\cdot, \bar{y}) \leq \beta]$. Hence, by Theorem 5.2, there exist $x_1 \in \text{dom}(a(\cdot, \bar{y}))$, $x_2 \in \text{dom}(a(\cdot, \tilde{y}))$ and $\varphi_1 \in \partial_{\Phi_{\text{conv}}} a(\cdot, \bar{y})(x_1)$, $\varphi_2 \in \partial_{\Phi_{\text{conv}}} a(\cdot, \tilde{y})(x_2)$ such that the intersection property holds for φ_1 and φ_2 on X at the level β .

Let $\alpha < \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in Y} a(x, y) = \beta$. By Proposition 5.3, functions φ_1 and φ_2 have the intersection property on X at the level α . ■

Taking into account Theorem 3.2 we can formulate the following sufficient and necessary conditions for the minimax equality in terms of Φ - ε -subgradients for Φ -convex functions.

Theorem 6.5 *Let X be a set and Y be a vector space. Let $a : X \times Y \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ be a function such that for any $y \in Y$ the function $a(\cdot, y) : X \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ is proper Φ -convex on X and for any $x \in X$ the function $a(x, \cdot) : Y \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ is concave on Y . The following conditions are equivalent*

- (i) *for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha < \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in Y} a(x, y)$ and for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $y_1, y_2 \in Y$, $x_1 \in \text{dom}(a(\cdot, y_1))$, $x_2 \in \text{dom}(a(\cdot, y_2))$ and $\varphi_1 \in \partial_\Phi^\varepsilon a(\cdot, y_1)(x_1)$, $\varphi_2 \in \partial_\Phi^\varepsilon a(\cdot, y_2)(x_2)$ such that the intersection property holds for φ_1 and φ_2 on X at the level α ,*
- (ii) $\sup_{y \in Y} \inf_{x \in X} a(x, y) = \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in Y} a(x, y)$.

Proof. Follows immediately from Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 1.2. ■

References

- [1] E.M. Bednarczuk, M. Syga, *Minimax theorems for Φ -convex functions with applications*, Control and Cybernetics, vol. 43 (2014), 421–437.
- [2] F. Bernard, L. Thibault, *Prox-regular functions in Hilbert spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. vol. 303 (2005), 1–14.
- [3] A. Brøndsted, R.T. Rockafellar, *On the subdifferentiability of convex functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 16 (1965), 605–611.

- [4] S. Dolecki, S. Kurcyusz, *On Φ -convexity in extremal problems*, SIAM J. Control and Optimization, vol. 16 (1978), 277–300.
- [5] Ekeland I., Temam R. *Convex analysis and variational problems*, North-Holland, Amsterdam 1976.
- [6] K. Fan, *Minimax theorems*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., vol. 39 (1953), 42–47.
- [7] A.D. Ioffe, A.M. Rubinov, *Abstract convexity and nonsmooth analysis: Global aspects*, Advances in Mathematical Economics, Springer, Tokyo vol. 4 (2002), 1–23.
- [8] A.D. Ioffe, V.M. Tihomirov, *Theory of Extremal Problems*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1979.
- [9] D. Pallaschke, S. Rolewicz, *Foundations of Mathematical Optimization*, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 1997.
- [10] R. T. Rockafellar, R. J.-B. Wets, *Variational analysis* of Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], Springer-Verlag, Berlin, vol. 317 (1998).
- [11] A.M. Rubinov, *Abstract Convexity and Global Optimization*, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 2000.
- [12] W. Schirotzek, *Nonsmooth analysis*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2007.
- [13] S. Simons, *Minimax theorems and their proofs*, in: Minimax and Applications, D.-Z. Du, P.M. Pardalos eds., Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1–23 (1995).
- [14] E. Sharikov, *Conditions for global minimum through abstract convexity*, LAP LAMBERT Academic Publishing, 2009.
- [15] M. Syga, *Minimax theorems for Φ -convex functions with applications to optimization* (in Polish), PhD thesis, Warsaw, 2015.
- [16] M. Syga, *Minimax theorems for Φ -convex functions: sufficient and necessary conditions*, Optimization vol. 65 issue 2 (2016), doi: 10.1080/02331934.2015.1062010
- [17] M. Syga *Minimax theorems for convex functions*, arXiv:1606.08389, 2016.